

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/747,054	12/22/2000	Dov Bulka	40921/206279	1574
26108	7590 11/06/2003		EXAMINER	
DANIELS DANIELS & VERDONIK, P.A. SUITE 200 GENERATION PLAZA 1822 N.C. HIGHWAY 54 EAST DURHAM, NC 27713			MAHMOUDI, HASSAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2175	· pc
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/747,054	BULKA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tony Mahmoudi	2175
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the information of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuthan the second of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of third ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON I, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed	I on 02 September 2003 .	
) This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	or allowance except for formal ma	
Disposition of Claims	<u>-</u>	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the E		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		
Applicant may not request that any object		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed o		Isapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are requi	•	
,—	y tile ⊏xamiller.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.440(-) (1) (0
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	and the second second	
1. Certified copies of the priority do		natication No.
	ocuments have been received in A	· ·
	the priority documents have been ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). for a list of the certified copies not	-
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign lange 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for Attachment(s) 	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121 DOV POPOVICE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	0-948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/747,054

Art Unit: 2175

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

1. In response to communications filed on 02-September-2003, claims 1-5, 10, and 14-15 are amended per applicant's request. Claims 1-15 are presently pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 4-6, 10 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Johnson et al</u> (U.S. patent No. 5,151,989) in view of <u>Saks et al</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,666,532.)
 As to claim 1, <u>Johnson et al</u> teaches a method of searching a file access system (see Abstract) for a requested file (see column 8, lines 9-22), comprising:

establishing a field in a directory i-node memory structure for files (see column 13, lines 52-59, and see column 17, line 64 through column 18, line 8) corresponding to a directory cache hash table (see column 20, line 63 through column 21, line 11), the field containing a

pointer to the directory cache hash table (see column 18, lines 17-22, and see column 22, lines 35-48);

allocating memory for a directory cache (see column 5, lines 61-68, where "allocating memory" is read on "formerly stored directory cache"), cache hash table having an array of hash buckets (see figures 19-20, and see column 17, lines 61-63) which point to a list of files which may correspond to a specific i-node (see figure 20, and see column 18, lines 9-22, also see column 22, lines 2-28), the directory cache hash table storing directory layouts (see column 25, line 30 through column 26, line 9, where "storing directory layouts" is read on "storing units of directory information");

searching the directory cache hash table for a requested file by hashing the file i-node to a specific bucket which contains a list of files that may correspond to the requested file i-node (see column 20, line 63 though column 21, line 11); and

if the bucket contains a matching file name, pointing to where the name of the requested file is stored (see column 20, lines 8-34, and see column 22, lines 2-60.)

<u>Johnson et al</u> does not teach: allocating memory for buffer cache (although <u>Johnson et al</u> teaches "local caching" in the BACKGROUND ART section of his invention (see column 2, lines 33-55.)

Saks et al teaches a computer method for ordered operations (see Abstract), in which he teaches allocating memory for buffer cache (see column 6, lines 23-32.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Johnson et al</u> to include allocating memory for buffer cache.

Application Control Humber

Art Unit: 2175

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Johnson et al</u> by the teaching of <u>Saks et al</u>, because allocating memory for buffer cache would enable the system to store data locally and at a faster rate, in which case, "pages that contain file data are used directly as the I/O buffers, while file system structural data are held in the buffer cache", as taught by <u>Saks et al</u> (see column 6, lines 32-35.)

As to claim 2, <u>Johnson et al</u> as modified teaches the method further comprising conventionally searching file structures when the file name in the directory cache hash table is not found (see <u>Johnson et al</u>, figure 22, and see column 20, lines 8-34, where "conventionally searching" is read on "perform remote lookup".)

As to claim 4, <u>Johnson et al</u> teaches a method of accessing files in a file access system (see Abstract), comprising:

establishing a field in a directory i-node memory structure for files (see column 13, lines 52-59, and see column 17, line 64 through column 18, line 8) corresponding to a directory cache hash table (see column 20, line 63 through column 21, line 11), the field containing a pointer to the directory cache hash table (see column 18, lines 17-22, and see column 22, lines 35-48);

reading a directory into cache (see column 8, lines 14-15), the directory having a storage device representation (see column 9, lines 58-61, where "storage device representation" is read on "the disk");

Application/Control Number: 09/747,054

Art Unit: 2175

converting the directory from the storage device representation to a faster representation (see column 17, lines 46-63, where "faster representation" is read on "caching" in order to "speed up searching"), the faster representation representing a layout of the directory (see column 25, line 30 through column 26, line 9, where "representing a layout of the directory" is read on "storing units of directory information") with an array of hash buckets (see figures 19-20, and see column 17, lines 61-63) which point to a list of files which may correspond to a specific i-node; and

searching the faster representation for a requested file (see column 8, lines 20-23) by hashing the file i-node to a specific bucket which contains a list of files that may correspond to the requested file i-node (see figure 20, and see column 18, lines 9-22, also see column 22, lines 2-28);

wherein the storage device representation is maintained for backwards compatibility with pre-existing and older file access systems (it is inherent that files kept on disks, CDs, or other type of storage device representation are kept for the purpose of maintaining compatibility with pre-existing and older file access systems.)

For the teaching of buffer cache, the applicant is kindly directed to the remarks and discussions made in claim 1 above.

As to claim 5, <u>Johnson et al</u> teaches a method of accessing files in a file access system (see Abstract), comprising:

establishing a field in a directory i-node memory structure for files (see column 13, lines 52-59, and see column 17, line 64 through column 18, line 8) corresponding to a directory

Application/Control Number: 09/747,054

Art Unit: 2175

cache hash table (see column 20, line 63 through column 21, line 11), the field containing a pointer to the directory cache hash table (see column 18, lines 17-22, and see column 22, lines 35-48);

reading a directory into cache (see column 8, lines 14-15), the directory having a storage device representation (see column 9, lines 58-61, where "storage device representation" is read on "the disk");

For the remaining steps of this claim, the applicant is kindly directed to the remarks and discussions made in claims 1 and 4 above.

As to claim 6, <u>Johnson et al</u> as modified teaches the method further comprising hashing selected directories into a hash table format (see <u>Johnson et al</u>, column 18, lines 17-22.)

As to claim 10, the applicant is kindly directed to the remarks and discussions made in claims 1, 4, 5, 6, 14 and 15.

As to claim 13, <u>Johnson et al</u> as modified teaches the method further comprising linking hash buckets to offsets where a name of the requested file is stored (see <u>Johnson et al</u>, figure 20.)

As to claim 14, <u>Johnson et al</u> teaches a computer server system (see Abstract, and see column 5, lines 52-68, where "server system" is taught), comprising:

an outer cabinet housing memory, an array of storage devices, at least one power supply providing electrical power to the computer server system (it is inherent that a "server system" has "an outer cabinet housing memory, an array of storage devices, at least one power supply providing electrical power to the computer server system"), and

Page 7

at least one processor (see column 1, lines 41-50) allocating memory for directory cache (see Abstract, and see column 5, lines 61-68, where "allocating memory" is read on "formerly stored directory cache"), the processor converting directories from a storage device layout to a faster representation which includes an array of hash buckets which point to a list of files which may correspond to a specific i-node, the faster representation including a pointer from a directory i-node memory structure to an associated hash table (the applicant is kindly directed to the remarks and discussions made in claim 4 above.)

For the teaching of buffer cache, the applicant is kindly directed to the remarks and discussions made in claim 1 above.

As to claim 15, Saks et al teaches a network (see Abstract) storage system (see column 14, lines 1-9, where "storage system" is read on "disk.)

For the remaining steps of this claim, the applicant is kindly directed to the remarks and discussions made in claims 1 and 14 above.

Application/Control Number: 09/747,054 Page 8

Art Unit: 2175

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3 and 7-9, and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al (U.S. patent No. 5,151,989) in view of Saks et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,666,532), as applied to claims 1-2, 4-6, 10, and 13-15 above, and further in view of Ish et al (U.S. patent No. 5,778,430.)

As to claim 3, <u>Johnson et al</u> as modified teaches wherein the step of allocating memory for the directory cache hash table (see <u>Johnson et al</u>, column 5, lines 61-68, where "allocating memory" is read on "formerly stored directory cache") includes selecting directories to cache using at least one of the number of files in a directory (see <u>Johnson et al</u>, column 9, line 55 through column 10, line 3, also see column 20, lines 47-55; and see column 22, lines 35-48.)

Johnson et al as modified still does not teach frequency of use.

<u>Ish et al</u> teaches a method and apparatus for computer disk cache management (see Abstract), in which he teaches hashing selected directories into a hash table format (see column 5, lines 41-44) according to frequency of use (see column 6, lines 7-13.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Johnson et al</u> as modified, to include hashing selected directories into a hash table format according to frequency of use.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Johnson et al</u> as modified, by the teaching of <u>Ish et al</u>, because hashing selected directories into a hash table format according to frequency of use, would tailor the management of hashed data blocks to accommodate directories based on how frequently they are accessed.

As to claims 7 and 11, <u>Johnson et al</u> as modified teaches the method further comprising hashing selected directories into a hash table format (see <u>Johnson et al</u>, column 18, lines 17-22.)

<u>Johnson et al</u> as modified still does not teach hashing directories according to a size of the directory.

Ish et al teaches a method and apparatus for computer disk cache management (see Abstract), in which he teaches hashing directories according to a size of the directory (see column 5, lines 61-65.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Johnson et al</u> as modified, to include hashing directories according to a size of the directory.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Johnson et al</u> as modified, by the teaching of <u>Ish et al</u>, because hashing directories according to a size of the directory, would tailor the management of hashed data blocks to accommodate directories with different sized of data.

As to claims 8 and 12, <u>Johnson et al</u> as modified teaches the method further comprising hashing selected directories into a hash table format (see <u>Johnson et al</u>, column 18, lines 17-22.)

<u>Johnson et al</u> as modified still does not teach hashing directories according to a frequency of access.

<u>Ish et al</u> teaches a method and apparatus for computer disk cache management (see Abstract), in which he teaches hashing directories according to frequency of access (see column 6, lines 7-13.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Johnson et al</u> as modified, to include hashing directories according to frequency of access.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified <u>Johnson et al</u> as modified, by the teaching of <u>Ish et al</u>, because hashing directories according to frequency of access, would tailor the management of hashed data blocks to accommodate directories based on how frequently they are accessed.

As to claim 9, <u>Johnson et al</u> as modified teaches the method further comprising hashing selected directories into a hash table format (see <u>Johnson et al</u>, column 18, lines 17-22.)

<u>Johnson et al</u> as modified still does not teach hashing directories according to a user selected criteria.

Ish et al teaches a method and apparatus for computer disk cache management (see Abstract), in which he teaches hashing directories according to a user selected criteria (see column 5, lines 37-40, and see column 8, lines 28-37.)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Johnson et al as modified, to include hashing directories according to a user selected criteria.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Johnson et al as modified, by the teaching of Ish et al, because hashing directories according to a user selected criteria, would tailor the management of hashed data blocks to accommodate directories based on a pre-defined set of parameters submitted by the user.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on 02-September-2003 with respect to the rejected claims in view of the cited references have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new grounds for rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

8. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Tony Mahmoudi whose telephone number is (703) 305-4887. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 08:00 am to 04:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dov Popovici, can be reached at (703) 305-3830.

tm

October 22, 2003

DOV POPOVICI

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100